OIPE
FEB 2 6 2002
MADEMARKO

Pra titi n r' Do ket N	P-1000 PATEN
IN THE UNITED STATES	S PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
In re application of: Stefan 0. 1	Dick, et al.
Application No.: 0 9/ 649,903	Group No.: 3721
Filed: Aug. 28, 2000 For: PACKAGING CONTAINER FOR	Examiner: Gerrity, Stephen Francis
Assistant Commissioner for Patent Washington, D.C. 20231	ts
AMENDN	MENT TRANSMITTAL
· 1. Transmitted herewith is an ame	endment for this application.
	STATUS
2. Applicant is	·
a small entity. A statement	t:
☐ is attached.	
☐ was already filed.	
other than a small entity.	
and a contain contain.	
CERTIFICATE OF MAILIN	NG/TRANSMISSION (37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a))
I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on	the date shown below, being:
MAILING	FACSIMILE
deposited with the United States Postal	☐ transmitted by facsimile to the
Service with sufficient postage as first class mail, in an envelope addressed to the	Patent and Trademark Office.
Assistant Commissioner for Patents.	
Washington, D.C. 20231	Dorothy Goodless
, · · ·	Signature
Date: Heb 11, 2002	Dorothy Goodlett
	(type or print name of person certifying)

(Amendment Transmittal [9-19]-page 1 of 4)

# **EXTENSION OF TERM**

NOTE: "Extension of Time in Patent Cases (Supplement Amendments) — If a timely and complete response has been filed after a Non-Final Office Action, an extension of time is not required to permit filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period.

If a timely response has been filed after a Final Office Action, an extension of time is required to permit filing and/or entry of a Notice of Appeal or filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period unless the timely-filed response placed the application in condition for allowance. Of course, if a Notice of Appeal has been filed within the shortened statutory period, the period has ceased to run." Notice of December 10, 1985 (1061 O.G. 34-35).

NOTE: See 37 C.F.R. § 1.645 for extensions of time in interference proceedings, and 37 C.F.R. § 1.550(c) for extensions of time in reexamination proceedings.

3. The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 apply.

(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

(a)		Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 (fees: 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)(1)-(4) for the total number of months checked be					
	CXI	tension onths)	Fee for other than small entity	Fee for small entity	tod below.		

_	(months)	small entity	Fee for small entity		
	one month	\$ 110.00	\$ 55.00		
	two months	\$ 380.00	\$ 190.00		
	three months	\$ 870.00	\$ 435.00		
	four months	\$ 1,360.00	\$ 680.00		

Fee: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(check and complete the next item, if applicable)

An extension for months has already been secured. The fee paid therefor of \$ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested.
<b>-</b> .

Extension fee due with this request \$\_\_\_\_\_

OR

(b) Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.

### FEE FOR CLAIMS

4.	TI	ne fee for c	laims (37	7 C.	F.R. § 1.1	6(b)-(d	f)) ha	s been	calcula	ated as	showr	below:
		(Col. 1)		·	(Col. 2)	(C	ol. 3)	SMAL	L ENTIT	Υ		R THAN A L ENTITY
		CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	•	P	IGHEST NO REVIOUSLY PAID FOR		SENT TRA	RATE	ADDI FEE	-	RATE	ADDIT. FEE
TOTA	L '	17	MINUS	••	21	=	0	x\$9 =	\$	•	x\$18=	\$
INDEP		2	MINUS	***	4	= .	0	x\$39=	\$.		x\$78=	\$
☐ FIR	ST F	RESENTATION	OF MUL	TIPLE	DEP. CLAI	М		+ \$130 =	\$		+\$260=	\$
	lf eb	e entry in Col.	4 :- 4			_		TOTAL DIT. FEE	\$	OR	TOTAL ADDIT. FEE \$	
WAF	If the	e Highest No. e "Highest No. I"Highest No. I in Col. 1 of a grant	Previously Previously Previously prior amer rejection of	y Pai y Paid Paid ndme or act	d for" IN TH d For" IN TH For" (Total o nt or the nu	IIS SPA IIS SPA or indep mber of amend	CE is I CE is .) is the claims	ess than less than le highest s originall may be m	3, enter number y filed.	r "3". r found i	daima ar i	
	,	/			olete (c) o			olicable)	)			
(c)	Y	No additio	nal fee	for d	claims is r	equire	d.					
						OR						
(d)		Total addit	tional fe	e foi	claims re	equire	d \$		<del></del>			
					FEE P	AYMI	ENT					
5.		Attached is	s a chec	k in	the sum	of \$ _						
		Charge Ac										
		A duplicate	of this	trar	smittal is	attacl	ned.					

# FEE DEFICIENCY

NOTE: If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, (1065 O.G. 31-33).

6. If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Account No. 03-3420

AND/OR

If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No.

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Scott R. Cox

(type or print name of practitioner)

400 West Market St., Suite 2200

P.O. Address

Louisville, KY 40202

Reg. No.: 31,945

Tel. No.: (502 ) 589-4215

Customer No.:

EN inno

# 4/ Bestin





### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Stefan O. Dick, et al.

Serial No. 09/649,903

Filing Date: Aug. 28, 2000

Filling Date: Aug. 28, 2000

Attorney Docket No. P-1000

For: PACKAGING CONTAINER FOR

ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS

RECEIVED
RECEIVED
Art Unit: 3721

Examiner:

Gerrity, Stephen Francis

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

#### RESPONSE

This is a response to the Office Action from the United States
Patent and Trademark Office dated January 31, 2002. In that Office
Action the United States Patent and Trademark Office stated that
restriction to one of the following inventions was required:

- I. Claims 1-17, drawn to a packaging container, or
- II. Claims 18-21, drawn to a process for the filing and shipping of the packaging container.

The applicants elect to prosecute Invention I, Claims 1-17 without traverse.

### CONCLUSION

The applicants believe that the application is now in condition for review and requests such review. If there are any additional issues concerning this application, please contact applicants' counsel.

Respectfully submitted,

Scott R. Cox Reg. No. 31,945

LYNCH, COX, GILMAN & MAHAN, P.S.C. 400 West Market Street, Suite 2200 Louisville, Kentucky 40202

Darothy Goodlett

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Date: Feb. 11 2002

SRC:dg C:\WP\PAT\P1000.A 2-8-02 93420